

Box 4.2 Differences Between Traditional Forest Management and an Ecosystem Approach to Forest Management

	Traditional Forest Management	Forest Ecosystem Management
Objectives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Maximizes commodity production ■ Maximizes net present value ■ Aims to maintain harvest or use of forest products at levels less than or equal to their growth or renewal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Maintains the forest ecosystem as an interconnected whole, while allowing for sustainable commodity production ■ Maintains future options ■ Aims to sustain ecosystem productivity over time, with short-term consideration of factors such as forest aesthetics and the social acceptability of harvest practices
Scale	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Works at the stand level within political or ownership boundaries 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Works at the ecosystem and landscape level
Role of Science	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Views forest management as an applied science 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Views forest management as combining science and social factors
Role of Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Focuses on outputs (goods and services demanded by people), such as timber, recreation, wildlife, and forage ■ Strives for management that fits industrial production ■ Considers timber is the most important forest output (timber primacy) ■ Strives to avoid impending timber famine ■ Views forests as a crop production system ■ Values economic efficiency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Focuses on inputs and processes, such as soil, biological diversity, and ecological processes, since these give rise to goods and services ■ Strives for management that mimics natural processes and productivity ■ Considers all species—plant and animal—important and considers services (protecting watersheds, recreation, etc.) are on an equal footing with goods (timber) ■ Strives to avoid biodiversity loss and soil degradation ■ Views forests as a natural system, more than the sum of its parts ■ Values cost-effectiveness and social acceptability

Source: Adapted from Bengston 1994